### Actions of Hochschild cohomology in representation theory of finite groups

Fajar Yuliawan

Fakultas Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Institut Teknologi Bandung

8 November 2018

### Outline

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Group algebras and modules
- Categories in representation of finite groups
- Actions of the Hochschild cohomology

- k field, e.g  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , etc
- G finite group, e.g. {1},  $C_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $C_p \times C_p$ ,  $S_n$ , etc

- k field, e.g  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , etc
- G finite group, e.g. {1},  $C_{p}\cong\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $C_{p} imes C_{p},$   $S_{n},$  etc
- Group algebra kG: vector space with basis G, and multiplication 'induced' by multiplication in G, i.e.

$$kG = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g \mid \lambda_g \in k \right\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- k field, e.g  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , etc
- G finite group, e.g. {1},  $C_{p}\cong\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $C_{p} imes C_{p},$   $S_{n},$  etc
- Group algebra kG: vector space with basis G, and multiplication 'induced' by multiplication in G, i.e.

$$kG = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g \mid \lambda_g \in k \right\}$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{g\in G}\lambda_g g\right)\left(\sum_{h\in G}\mu_h h\right) = \sum_{g\in G}\sum_{h\in G}\lambda_g\mu_h gh$$

Examples

(1)  $G = \{1\}, \ kG = \{\lambda \cdot 1 \mid \lambda \in k\} \text{ and } (\lambda \cdot 1)(\mu \cdot 1) = (\lambda \mu) \cdot 1.$ 

Examples

(1) 
$$G = \{1\}, \ kG = \{\lambda \cdot 1 \mid \lambda \in k\}$$
 and  $(\lambda \cdot 1)(\mu \cdot 1) = (\lambda \mu) \cdot 1$ .  
Thus

 $kG \cong k, \quad \lambda \cdot 1 \mapsto \lambda$ 

Examples

(1) 
$$G = \{1\}, \ kG = \{\lambda \cdot 1 \mid \lambda \in k\}$$
 and  $(\lambda \cdot 1)(\mu \cdot 1) = (\lambda \mu) \cdot 1.$   
Thus  
 $kG \cong k, \quad \lambda \cdot 1 \mapsto \lambda$   
(2)  $G = C_2 = \{1, a\}$  with  $a^2 = 1, \ kG = \{\lambda \cdot 1 + \mu \cdot a \mid \lambda, \mu \in k\},$   
and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_1 \cdot 1 + \mu_1 \cdot a)(\lambda_2 \cdot 1 + \mu_2 \cdot a) \\ &= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdot 1 + \lambda_1 \mu_2 \cdot a + \mu_1 \lambda_2 \cdot a + \mu_1 \mu_2 \cdot 1 \\ &= (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \mu_1 \mu_2) \cdot 1 + (\lambda_1 \mu_2 + \lambda_2 \mu_1) \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

Examples

(1) 
$$G = \{1\}, \ kG = \{\lambda \cdot 1 \mid \lambda \in k\}$$
 and  $(\lambda \cdot 1)(\mu \cdot 1) = (\lambda \mu) \cdot 1.$   
Thus  
 $kG \cong k, \quad \lambda \cdot 1 \mapsto \lambda$   
(2)  $G = C_2 = \{1, a\}$  with  $a^2 = 1, \ kG = \{\lambda \cdot 1 + \mu \cdot a \mid \lambda, \mu \in k\},$   
and

$$(\lambda_1 \cdot 1 + \mu_1 \cdot a)(\lambda_2 \cdot 1 + \mu_2 \cdot a)$$
  
= $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdot 1 + \lambda_1 \mu_2 \cdot a + \mu_1 \lambda_2 \cdot a + \mu_1 \mu_2 \cdot 1$   
= $(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \mu_1 \mu_2) \cdot 1 + (\lambda_1 \mu_2 + \lambda_2 \mu_1) \cdot a.$ 

Thus

$$kG \cong k[X]/\langle X^2 - 1 \rangle, \quad \lambda \cdot 1 + \mu \cdot a \mapsto \lambda + \mu X$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

(3)  $G = C_2$  and char(k) = 2 then  $kG \cong k[X]/\langle X^2 \rangle$ .

(3)  $G = C_2$  and char(k) = 2 then  $kG \cong k[X]/\langle X^2 \rangle$ .

In general,  $G = (C_p)^n = C_p \times C_p \times \cdots \times C_p$  and char(k) = p, then

$$kG \cong k[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n]/\langle X_1^p, X_2^p, \ldots, X_n^p \rangle$$

(3)  $G = C_2$  and char(k) = 2 then  $kG \cong k[X]/\langle X^2 \rangle$ .

In general, 
$$G = (C_p)^n = C_p \times C_p \times \cdots \times C_p$$
 and  $\operatorname{char}(k) = p$ , then

$$kG \cong k[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n]/\langle X_1^p, X_2^p, \ldots, X_n^p \rangle$$

・ロト ・ 目 ・ ・ ヨト ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Observation: kG is commutative if and only if G is Abelian, e.g.  $kS_3$  is not commutative

#### Modules over group algebras

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

k field, G finite group, kG group algebra

#### Modules over group algebras

k field, G finite group, kG group algebra

• (left) *kG*-module: vector space *M* together with 'multiplication'

$$kG \times M \rightarrow M$$
,  $(a, m) \mapsto a \cdot m$ ,

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

satisfying the 'usual' modules axioms, e.g.  $1 \cdot m = m$ , (a+b)m = am + bm, (ab)m = a(bm),  $a(\lambda m + \mu n) = \lambda am + \mu an$ , etc

#### Modules over group algebras

k field, G finite group, kG group algebra

• (left) *kG*-module: vector space *M* together with 'multiplication'

$$kG \times M \rightarrow M$$
,  $(a, m) \mapsto a \cdot m$ ,

satisfying the 'usual' modules axioms, e.g.  $1 \cdot m = m$ , (a+b)m = am + bm, (ab)m = a(bm),  $a(\lambda m + \mu n) = \lambda am + \mu an$ , etc

Example

- 1. The zero vector space (of dimension 0)
- 2. k is a kG-module with  $\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \cdot g\right) \cdot \mu = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \mu$
- 3. kG is a kG-module with the usual multiplication

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

k field, G finite group, kG group algebra M, N be kG-modules

k field, G finite group, kG group algebra M, N be kG-modules

• kG-homomorphism: k-linear map  $f: M \to N$  s.t.  $f(a \cdot m) = a \cdot f(m)$  for all  $a \in kG, m \in M$ ,

k field, G finite group, kG group algebra M, N be kG-modules

• kG-homomorphism: k-linear map  $f: M \to N$  s.t.  $f(a \cdot m) = a \cdot f(m)$  for all  $a \in kG, m \in M$ ,

or equivalently  $f(g \cdot m) = g \cdot f(m)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $m \in M$ .

k field, G finite group, kG group algebra M, N be kG-modules

• kG-homomorphism: k-linear map  $f: M \to N$  s.t.  $f(a \cdot m) = a \cdot f(m)$  for all  $a \in kG, m \in M$ ,

or equivalently  $f(g \cdot m) = g \cdot f(m)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $m \in M$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Composition of two *kG*-homomorphisms is again a *kG*-homomorphism, and

k field, G finite group, kG group algebra M, N be kG-modules

• kG-homomorphism: k-linear map  $f: M \to N$  s.t.  $f(a \cdot m) = a \cdot f(m)$  for all  $a \in kG, m \in M$ ,

or equivalently  $f(g \cdot m) = g \cdot f(m)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $m \in M$ .

• Composition of two *kG*-homomorphisms is again a *kG*-homomorphism, and

the class of all kG-modules together with kG-homomorphism between them form a category, denoted by Mod(kG).

### Definition of categories

A category  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  consists of

- a 'class' of objects  $Ob(\mathcal{C})$
- a set of morphism  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  for each pair  $X, Y \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$
- a function, called composition

$$\circ : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) imes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,Z) o \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Z)$$
 $(f,g) \mapsto g \circ f$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

for each triple  $X, Y, Z \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ 

satisfying certain axioms.

### Definition of categories

A category  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  consists of

- a 'class' of objects Ob(C)
- a set of morphism  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  for each pair  $X, Y \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$
- a function, called composition

$$\circ : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) imes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,Z) o \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Z)$$
 $(f,g) \mapsto g \circ f$ 

for each triple  $X, Y, Z \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ 

satisfying certain axioms.

A 'morphism' between categories is called a functor

## Category Set



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

# Category Mod(k)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

### Functor



• Usual objective: Classify the indecomposable in mod(kG).

 Usual objective: Classify the indecomposable in mod(kG). Theorem [Krull-Schmidt]. Every f.d. module M in mod(kG) can be decomposed uniquely into direct sum of indecomposable modules,

$$M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 Usual objective: Classify the indecomposable in mod(kG). Theorem [Krull-Schmidt]. Every f.d. module M in mod(kG) can be decomposed uniquely into direct sum of indecomposable modules,

$$M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 In 'ordinary representation theory': Theorem [Maschke]. If char(k) ∤ |G|, every indecomposable kG-module is irreducible/simple, i.e. kG is semisimple

 Usual objective: Classify the indecomposable in mod(kG). Theorem [Krull-Schmidt]. Every f.d. module M in mod(kG) can be decomposed uniquely into direct sum of indecomposable modules,

$$M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n.$$

- In 'ordinary representation theory': Theorem [Maschke]. If char(k) ∤ |G|, every indecomposable kG-module is irreducible/simple, i.e. kG is semisimple
- In 'modular representation theory': If char(k) | |G|, the group algebra kG is usually of 'wild representation type', hence it is impossible to classify the indecomposables modules.

k field, G finite group and char(k) | |G|Fact: kG is f.d. self-injective algebra, hence projective = injective

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

k field, G finite group and char(k) | |G|Fact: kG is f.d. self-injective algebra, hence projective = injective

- 1. Stable module category StMod(kG) or Mod(kG)
  - objects: kG-modules
  - morphisms: <u>Hom<sub>kG</sub>(M, N) = Hom(M, N)/ PHom(M, N)</u>

 $PHom(M, N) = \{f \mid f \text{ factors through some proj. ob.}\}$ 

k field, G finite group and char(k) | |G|Fact: kG is f.d. self-injective algebra, hence projective = injective

- 1. Stable module category StMod(kG) or Mod(kG)
  - objects: kG-modules
  - morphisms: <u>Hom<sub>kG</sub>(M, N) = Hom(M, N)/ PHom(M, N)</u>

 $PHom(M, N) = \{f \mid f \text{ factors through some proj. ob.}\}$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- 2. Derived category D(Mod kG) of the module category
  - objects: 'complexes' of *kG*-modules
  - morphisms: equivalence classes of 'roof', i.e.  $M \rightarrow X \leftarrow N$  with  $N \rightarrow X$  a 'quasi-isomorphism'

k field, G finite group and char(k) | |G|Fact: kG is f.d. self-injective algebra, hence projective = injective

- 1. Stable module category StMod(kG) or Mod(kG)
  - objects: kG-modules
  - morphisms: <u>Hom<sub>kG</sub>(M, N) = Hom(M, N)/ PHom(M, N)</u>

 $PHom(M, N) = \{f \mid f \text{ factors through some proj. ob.}\}$ 

- 2. Derived category D(Mod kG) of the module category
  - objects: 'complexes' of *kG*-modules
  - morphisms: equivalence classes of 'roof', i.e.  $M \to X \leftarrow N$  with  $N \to X$  a 'quasi-isomorphism'
- 3. Homotopy category of injective kG-modules K(Inj kG)

• Objects: complexes of injective kG-modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} \mathbb{I}^n \xrightarrow{d^n} \xrightarrow{t^{n+1}} \cdots$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

where  $I^n$  are injective kG-modules and  $d^n \circ d^{n-1} = 0$ .

• Objects: complexes of injective kG-modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} \mathbb{I}^n \xrightarrow{d^n} \xrightarrow{t^{n+1}} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where  $I^n$  are injective kG-modules and  $d^n \circ d^{n-1} = 0$ .

Morphism: chain maps (of degree 0) modulo null-homotopy maps

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{K}(\operatorname{Inj} kG)}(I,J) = \frac{\{\operatorname{chain maps} I \to J\}}{\{\operatorname{null-homotopic maps} I \to J\}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 国 ト ▲ 国 ト → 回 → の < ⊙



三 のへの

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで





・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Theorem (Krause 2005)

There exists a diagram of six functors

Mod hts = Killing kG) = D(Mod kG)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

satisfying some 'nice' properties.

#### Theorem (Krause 2005)

There exists a diagram of six functors

satisfying some 'nice' properties.

#### Remark

The category  $K(\ln j kG)$  contains a copy of Mod(kG) and two copies of D(Mod(kG))

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- Aim: Study K(Inj kG)
- Plan: Give some algebraic structure on the set of morphism between two objects

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- Aim: Study K(Inj kG)
- Plan: Give some algebraic structure on the set of morphism between two objects

#### Benson-Iyengar-Krause theory (2008):

If R is a graded-commutative notherian ring 'acting' on K(Inj kG) then there is a 'local cohomology' functor

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$$
:  $K(\operatorname{Inj} kG) \to K(\operatorname{Inj} kG)$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

for each homogeneous prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ .

- Aim: Study K(Inj kG)
- Plan: Give some algebraic structure on the set of morphism between two objects

#### Benson-Iyengar-Krause theory (2008):

If R is a graded-commutative notherian ring 'acting' on K(Inj kG) then there is a 'local cohomology' functor

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$$
: K(Inj  $kG$ )  $\rightarrow$  K(Inj  $kG$ )

for each homogeneous prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ . Define the support of an object X in K(Inj kG) to be

$$\operatorname{supp}_R(X) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}^h(R) \mid \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) = 0\}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

#### Some homological algebras

A an f.d. algebra over a field k and X, Y A-modules

• an injective resolution of X is a complex of injective modules

$$iX = \cdots \to 0 \to I^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} I^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} I^2 \to \cdots$$

together with a map  $\eta \colon X \to I^0$  such that the sequence

$$0 \to X \xrightarrow{\eta} I^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} I^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} I^2 \to \cdots$$

is exact.

• In particular, *iX* is an object in K(Inj A)

#### Some homological algebras

• The *n*-th extension group  $Ext^n(X, Y)$  is

 $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(X, Y) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A)}(iX, iY[n]),$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

where iX, iY are injective resolution of X, Y, resp.

#### Some homological algebras

• The *n*-th extension group  $Ext^n(X, Y)$  is

 $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(X, Y) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A)}(iX, iY[n]),$ 

where iX, iY are injective resolution of X, Y, resp. Thus, an element of  $Ext_A^n(X, Y)$  looks like:



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

A an f.d. algebra over a field k,  $A^e$  the enveloping algebra of A (i.e. (A, A)-bimodules =  $A^e$ -modules)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

A an f.d. algebra over a field k,  $A^e$  the enveloping algebra of A (i.e. (A, A)-bimodules =  $A^e$ -modules)

• *M* an (*A*, *A*)-bimodule. The *n*-th Hochschild cohomology of *A* with coefficient in *M* is

$$\mathsf{HH}(A,M) = \mathsf{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A,M) = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\,A^e)}(iA,iM[n])$$

A an f.d. algebra over a field k,  $A^e$  the enveloping algebra of A (i.e. (A, A)-bimodules =  $A^e$ -modules)

• *M* an (*A*, *A*)-bimodule. The *n*-th Hochschild cohomology of *A* with coefficient in *M* is

$$\mathsf{HH}(A,M) = \mathsf{Ext}^n_{A^e}(A,M) = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Inj}\,A^e)}(iA,iM[n])$$

• The Hochschild cohomology ring of A is the graded ring

$$\operatorname{HH}^*(A,A) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{HH}^n(A,A)$$

- ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 □

with multiplication defined using composition and shift.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

• If  $f \in HH^m(A, A)$ , i.e.  $f : iA \to iA[m]$ and  $g \in HH^n(A, A)$ , i.e.  $g : iA \to iA[n]$ ,

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

• If  $f \in HH^m(A, A)$ , i.e.  $f : iA \to iA[m]$ and  $g \in HH^n(A, A)$ , i.e.  $g : iA \to iA[n]$ ,

then  $f \cdot g$  is defined as the composition

$$iA \xrightarrow{f} iA[m] \xrightarrow{g[m]} iA[m+n]$$
  
in  $HH^{m+n}(A, A)$ 

• If  $f \in HH^m(A, A)$ , i.e.  $f : iA \to iA[m]$ and  $g \in HH^n(A, A)$ , i.e.  $g : iA \to iA[n]$ ,

then  $f \cdot g$  is defined as the composition

$$iA \xrightarrow{f} iA[m] \xrightarrow{g[m]} iA[m+n]$$
  
in  $HH^{m+n}(A, A)$ 

Theorem [Gerstenhaber 1963]. The Hochschild cohomology ring of A is a graded-commutative ring.

Theorem [Evens 1961, Venkov 1959, Ginzburg-Kumar 1993, Friedlander-Suslin 1997]. The Hochschild cohomology ring of *A* is noetherian.

k field and G finite group, A = kG

Idea: Use tensor product over A
 If X is an (A, A)-bimodule and M an A-module, then X ⊗<sub>A</sub> M
 is an A-module.

k field and G finite group, A = kG

- Idea: Use tensor product over A
   If X is an (A, A)-bimodule and M an A-module, then X ⊗<sub>A</sub> M
   is an A-module.
- Extend to tensor product on the homotopy category:

 $-\otimes_A -: \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A^e) imes \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A) o \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A)$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

k field and G finite group, A = kG

- Idea: Use tensor product over A
   If X is an (A, A)-bimodule and M an A-module, then X ⊗<sub>A</sub> M
   is an A-module.
- Extend to tensor product on the homotopy category:

$$-\otimes_A -: \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A^e) imes \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A) o \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A)$$

· Restrict to the homotopy category of injectives

 $-\otimes_A -: \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A^e) \times \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A) \to \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A)$ 

k field and G finite group, A = kG

- Idea: Use tensor product over A
   If X is an (A, A)-bimodule and M an A-module, then X ⊗<sub>A</sub> M
   is an A-module.
- Extend to tensor product on the homotopy category:

$$-\otimes_A -: \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A^e) imes \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A) o \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A)$$

· Restrict to the homotopy category of injectives

 $-\otimes_A -: \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A^e) \times \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A) \to \operatorname{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A)$ 

A = kG,  $K = K(\ln j A)$ ,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{K}}^*(X,Y) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{K}}^*(X,Y[n])$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

A = kG, K = K(Inj A),

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(X,Y) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(X,Y[n])$$

• Apply the bifunctor to the morphisms, get:

 $\mathsf{HH}^*(A,A)\times\mathsf{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(X,Y)\to\mathsf{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(X,Y),$  where a 'homogeneous' pair  $f\colon iA\to iA[m]$  and  $g\colon X\to Y[n]$  is mapped to

$$X \cong iA \otimes_A X \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} iA[m] \otimes_A Y[n] \cong Y[m+n]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

A = kG, K = K(Inj A),

$$\operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(X,Y) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathsf{K}}(X,Y[n])$$

• Apply the bifunctor to the morphisms, get:

 $\mathsf{HH}^*(A,A)\times\mathsf{Hom}^*_\mathsf{K}(X,Y)\to\mathsf{Hom}^*_\mathsf{K}(X,Y),$  where a 'homogeneous' pair  $f\colon iA\to iA[m]$  and  $g\colon X\to Y[n]$  is mapped to

$$X \cong iA \otimes_A X \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} iA[m] \otimes_A Y[n] \cong Y[m+n]$$

 Get (graded) HH\*(A, A)-module structure on Hom<sup>\*</sup><sub>K</sub>(X, Y) for each pair X, Y ∈ K = K(Inj A).

#### Main Theorem

**Theorem** (Theorem 4.3.7). Let A be a finite dimensional self-injective algebra over  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then the tensor triangulated category  $\mathcal{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A^e)$  acts on  $\mathcal{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A)$  via tensor product of complexes over A. In particular, we get an action of  $\operatorname{HH}^*(A/\Bbbk)$  on  $\mathcal{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A)$  given by

$$\operatorname{HH}^*(A/\Bbbk) \cong \operatorname{End}^*_{\mathcal{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A^e)}(\mathbf{i} A) \xrightarrow{-\otimes_A M} \operatorname{End}^*_{\mathcal{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A)}(M)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

for all  $M \in \mathcal{K}(\operatorname{Inj} A)$ 

### Conclusion and Outlook

- The Hochschild cohomology ring acts on the homotopy category of injective *kG*-modules
- Since the stable category and the derived category is 'contained' in the homotopy category of injectives, we get also actions on both categories (for free)
- The above action can be generalized to arbitrary f.d. self-injective algebra over a field *k*
- Using B-I-K's machinery, get local cohomology functor and support theory for all categories above

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

### Conclusion and Outlook

- The Hochschild cohomology ring acts on the homotopy category of injective *kG*-modules
- Since the stable category and the derived category is 'contained' in the homotopy category of injectives, we get also actions on both categories (for free)
- The above action can be generalized to arbitrary f.d. self-injective algebra over a field *k*
- Using B-I-K's machinery, get local cohomology functor and support theory for all categories above

An open problem:

• Does the above action generalize to arbitrary f.d. algebras?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

### Thank You!

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()